A magnifying glass with a black handle and frame is positioned over a book cover. The book cover is green with a gold grid pattern of small squares. The magnifying glass is held over a section of the cover, and the background is a red, textured surface.

# International Journal of English and Literature

Volume 7 Number 9 September 2016

ISSN 2141-2626



*Academic  
Journals*

## ABOUT IJEL

**The International Journal of English and Literature** is published monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

**The International Journal of English and Literature (IJEL)** is an open access journal that provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of the subject such as African literatures, literature appreciation, cultural studies, literary styles etc.

The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles published in IJEL are peer-reviewed.

### Contact Us

**Editorial Office:** [ijel@academicjournals.org](mailto:ijel@academicjournals.org)

**Help Desk:** [helpdesk@academicjournals.org](mailto:helpdesk@academicjournals.org)

**Website:** <http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/IJEL>

**Submit manuscript online** <http://ms.academicjournals.me/>.

## Editors

### **Dr. Nemati Azadeh**

*Department of Teaching, Jahrom Branch,  
Islamic Azad University,  
Jahrom,  
Iran.*

### **Prof. C.J. Odhiambo**

*Department of Literature, Theatre and Film Studies.  
Moi University. P.O.Box 3900  
Eldoret 30100,  
Kenya.*

### **Dr. Mrudula Lakkaraju**

*Geethanjali College of Engineering and Technology,  
Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, +  
India.*

### **Dr. Ruzbeh Babaei**

*English language and literature,  
University of Putra Malaysia.  
Malaysia.*

### **Dr. Miguel Fernández**

*Chicago State University, ED 215  
9501 South King Drive  
Chicago,  
USA.*

### **Dr. Sunil Mishra**

*Dronacharya College Of Engineering,  
Gurgaon(Hr)123506,  
India.*

### **Dr. Farid Parvaneh**

*Department of English Language and Literature,  
Islamic Azad University,  
Qom Branch,  
Iran.*

### **Dr. Arezou Zalipour**

*School of Language Studies and Linguistics,  
Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities,  
National University of Malaysia (UKM)  
Banda Baru Bangi, 46300 Bangi  
Selangor, Darul Ehsan.  
Malaysia.*

## Editorial Board

**Dr. Kevin Moore**

154A Hicks Street, 3F  
Brooklyn, N.Y. 11201  
(917) 202-4565  
(718) 595-5758.  
USA.

**Dr. Shweta Garg**

School of Humanities and Social Sciences  
IIT Mandi, Mandi  
Himachal Pradesh,  
India.

**Dr. Mohammed I. Mahameed**

Department of English Language  
and Literature,  
Faculty of Arts,  
Tafila Technical University,  
Tafila-Jordan.

**Dr. Yildiz Turgut**

Department of School of Teaching and Learning  
University of Florida,  
USA.

**Dr. Jitendra Kumar Mishra**

English Communications and Soft Skills,  
Faculty of Science and Technology,  
The ICFAI University Tripura.  
India.

**Dr. Patil Anand Balu**

202 Pratiksha, Survey No 96/97,  
Plot No 217, Nr Bhimsen Joshi Park,  
Right Bhusari Colony, Kothrud,  
Pune, State: Maharashtra,  
India 411038.

**Dr. Ream Fathi Fares Odetallah**

Department of English Language and Literature,  
Jordan University/Amman,  
Jordan.

**Dr. Parul Mishra**

Department of English,  
Banasthali Vidyapeeth University,  
India.

**Dr. Dare Owolabi**

Department of English and Literary Studies,  
Ekiti State University, Ado Ekiti,  
Nigeria.

**Dr. Ahmed ElShiekh**

Department of Translation and Linguistics,  
Zarqa Private University,  
Jordan.

**Prof. B. Abirami**

Sri Krishna College of Technology,  
Coimbatore,  
India.

**Dr. Vahid Nowrozi**

Department of publication and Centre Sanjeshetakmili, and  
Translator in Corporate Social Responsibility Development  
Centre  
Tehran,  
Iran.

**Dr. Juan José Varela**

Fernando III El Santo 7-8th,  
Santiago de Compostela 15706,  
Spain.

**Dr. Amir El-Said Ebrahim Al-Azab**

Bossat Karim El-Deen-Sherbin-Mansoura.  
Egypt.

**Dr. Maya Khemlani David**

Faculty of Languages and Linguistics  
University of Malaya.  
50603 Kuala Lumpur,  
Malaysia.

**Dr. Kanwar Dinesh Singh**

Government PG College, affiliated to HP University,  
Post Box # 5, G.P.O. SHIMLA:  
171001 HP India.

**Dr. Ruzbeh Babaee**

Department of English language and literature,  
University of Putra,  
Malaysia.

**Dr. Sindkhedkar**

P.S.G.V.P's Mandal's A.S.C College, Shahada 425409,  
India.

**Dr. Lakshmi**

Department of English,  
Veltech Technical University ,  
Avadi, Chennai-54.  
India.

**ARTICLES**

<b>A question of the body: Colonial legacies and postcolonial imaginaries of power in African literary texts</b>	<b>143</b>
Gehan M. Anwar Deeb	
<b>Socio-political satire in Femi Osofisan's Many Colours Make the Thunder-King</b>	<b>152</b>
Olushola Ayodeji Akanmode	

Review

# A question of the body: Colonial legacies and postcolonial imaginaries of power in African literary texts

Ndi Gilbert Shang

University of Bayreuth, Germany.

Received 15 April, 2016; Accepted 11 July 2016

---

**This study examines the representation of corporeal difference as one of the fundamental ideologies on which the power dynamics of colonial and postcolonial polities are based. The analyses in this study are anchored on postcolonial theory with regard to issues such as power, race, centre/margin and decolonisation while the various notions of corporeality discussed here are informed by the works of theorists like Friedrich Nietzsche, Michel de Certeau, Michel Foucault, Achille Mbembe and Michael Taussig. With textual examples from novels by authors such as Chinua Achebe, Cheikh Hamidou Kane, Sony Labou Tansi and Ngugi wa Thiong'o, the paper argues that the body is a pertinent starting point for reflection on subjectification given that (apart from its analogical efficiency) it represents the vital entity on which basic considerations of self and other are imagined and refracted in concrete political practices. Though the asymmetries between the colonizer and colonized bodies have undergone transformation in the postcolony, the realities of the postcolonial regimes are still hinged on corporeal differences that make distinctions, however subtle, between bodies with surplus power and those with a deficit of power, depending on their aggregate positionality with regard to the centres of power, especially the State. The body of the potentate becomes the incarnation of this difference, hence making it a frequent creative topos. This study comes to the conclusion that the African novel constitutes a central and critical locus in the debate of the decolonisation of the mind and the deconstruction of epistemological grounds of difference. This is carried out through a variety of narrative structures, one of the most effective of which is the postcolonial dictatorship novel form. The textual inscription of the body underlines the consistent role of African literature as artistic intervention in colonial and postcolonial political practices, thereby foregrounding human equality as an indispensable pre-condition for a democratic and egalitarian society.**

**Key words:** African, body, power, subject, postcolonial, hegemony, subversion.

---

## INTRODUCTION

*Body is the total signifier, for everything has a body, or everything is a body... and the body is the last signifier, the limit of the signifier...*<sup>1</sup> Gerhard Richter Read against

the background of colonial and postcolonial history of the continent, African literature has been, to a certain extent, an exposition and interpolation of the discourses of a corporeal difference used by various power regimes to deny the humanity and rights of certain racial and political subjects and to justify violence against them. While the

---

<sup>1</sup> Gerhard Richter (2000). *Walter Benjamin and the Corpus of Autobiography*. Detroit: Wayne State University Press.

subject body under the colonial regime was objectified through racial epistemologies of difference that questioned its very humanness, the postcolonial dictatorial regimes, with their discourses of righting the wrongs of colonialism, have ended up consigning cross-sections of the society to second order citizenship, limiting their space of civic participation and access to the commonwealth. However, while colonialist discourses focus on the undeveloped or underdeveloped nature of the subject body, postcolonial articulations of difference are mostly concentrated on the “exceptional body” of the ruler or potentate, a supposed topos of national unity, collective memory, and national redemption. It is this very mythology of the potentate’s body that turns the latter into a creative motif in postcolonial dictatorship novels that divest it of its difference, reinstating its worldliness and equality with subject bodies. This study examines the modes through which creative representations in Africa have engaged with discourses of the corporeal difference along the various historical transformations in political power in Africa.

### **Corporeality: Between metaphoricity and vitality**

The body is a key representational medium in art in general and in literature in particular. Its vitality and symbolic depth make it an efficient in-road into several concepts and practices in popular culture, cultural studies, anthropology, etc. Every form of knowledge strives to articulate itself as an internally coherent organism, a body. Far from being a metaphor, the body subtends our very thinking processes and intervenes in our conceptual and practical knowledge of the self in relation (as well as in opposition) to the other.

In this regard, the 19th century German philosopher, Nietzsche emphatically affirms that even philosophy, a discipline that claims to be founded on immaterial reflection and pure logic, is indeed induced by the “the symptoms of the body, of its success or failure, its fullness, power and high-handedness in history, or of its frustrations, fatigues, impoverishments, its premonitions of the end, its will to an end” (2001). One of the recurrent metaphors through which power is imagined and narrated in postcolonial African literary texts is the body metaphor. The body is a fundamental trope in the imagination of cultural, socio-economic and political power. As a matter of distributing resources, political systems gauge the aggregate effect of policy choices on the various bodies within their polity based on tacit (and mostly unavowed) order of priority. The question of power relationship can

thus be understood as the relationship between bodies, their strengths and frailties; their contextual myths and stereotypes; their pleasures and pains; their honour and shame; their sustenance and diminution, etc.

Underlining the multidimensionality of the body, Slattery (2000) underscores that “what is unique about the body in literature that sets it apart from our consciously lived everyday body” is that “it offers a way of seeing in and through it to other dimensions of human experience”. By implication, the body can simultaneously stand for itself and for something else, efficiently encoding both a vitalistic and a symbolic dimension. The metaphoric density of the body makes it apt in retracing genealogies of power relations. With regard to the body as a marker of historical trajectories of power disequilibrium, Foucault affirms that:

The body is the inscribed surface of events (traced by language and dissolved by ideas), the locus of a dissociated self (adopting the illusion of a substantial unity), and volume in perpetual disintegration. Genealogy, as an analysis of descent, is thus situated within the articulation of the body and history. Its task is to expose a body totally imprinted by history and the process of history’s destruction of the body (Rabinow, 1984).

It is worth noting that, by “destruction of the body”, what is meant is not necessarily the physical annihilation of the body per se, but rather its objectifying inscription into various discourses and metaphors of power and difference. The reflections and representations of different bodies can lead to an understanding of power relations in different historical contexts. The use of the body metaphor here takes stock of the complexity of the body in presencing domination/subjugation without essentialising subjective experiences. In the same way, the body particularises hegemonic enactments in a specific context while reminding us of the relative generality of such practices of objectification. This explains why in the discussion that follows, the researcher tries not to essentialize the practices of corporeal differences as limited to the African colonial subject, but rather to establish their connexion with other colonial and postcolonial corporeal regimes in other spaces. The Latin American experience thus becomes interesting connecting points as can be seen from my recourse to the works of Michel de Certeau and Michael Taussig, scholars who have written on corporeality in that part of the world with regard to the colonial and postcolonial ideologies of power.

E-mail: ndishang@yahoo.co.uk.

Authors agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



### Colonial encounters and the discourses of corporeal differences

Contemporary postcolonial texts are underlined by memories of the unequal bodies of the colonial encounters. In order to explore the metaphors of colonial power, it is important to retrace the process of colonization not in its actuality or point of crystallization, but in its latent form of the idea of colonialism. In this regard, artistic representations of colonialism have also focused on the colonial desire, that is, the conception of the colonial space by the colonial force as a *terra nullus* that needed to be effectively occupied<sup>3</sup> by the colonial "centre". In his article on the writing of history published in 1975, the French cultural critic de Certeau presents a painting on Amerigo Vespucci that can appropriately serve as a starting point to the discussion in this study. Through a painting that depicts the colonization of Latin America, undoubtedly applicable to colonial conquests in Africa, Amerigo Vespucci, the Italian male "discoverer" arrives on the colonial territory motivated by the desire and "armed" with the mind-set to control. With a complex paraphernalia of "weapons of civilization" intricately depicted by the ambiguous image of a spear at the tip of which is affixed the sacrosanct cross, the colonizer imagines the colonial territory<sup>4</sup> as a primeval, vestal and exotic space that requires his civilizing mission. The other's space is represented by a nude woman, an "unnamed presence of difference" on which the conqueror "will write the body of the other and trace on it his own story"<sup>5</sup> (de Certeau, 1975). It is on the space of the other's body that the male colonizer will engrave the seal of his power. The relationship between space and body was taken to be a natural aspect of the other's being; whereas, Struver (2004) argues that such a relation should be considered as a construct. The preceding imagination of the other's space-body sets the scene for the colonial encounter that would later inform the metaphorical representation of power in the colony and postcolony.

The example earlier mentioned betrays a complex imagination of the other's body in the epistemological paradigm of the colonizing European mind. Mbembe (2001) argue that the colonial imagination of the other's body is marked by a sense of the *thereness* of the native subject. Mbembe (2001) qualifies this *thereness* in reference to Hegelian discourse whereby something exists just because it is perceptible as an "object". The "fact of its being" is its mere immediacy, bearing "no definite finality" prior to the advent of the coloniser (Mbembe, 2001). The subject's body and the space on which it is located bears no meaning and the colonizer

claims the divinely ordained mission to bring it into the fold of history and modernity. Vespucci's portrait represents how the colonizer imagines himself as endowed with the priority to speak for the other, to define his mission in reaction to the other and, most importantly, to invest the other's body with epistemic difference. This premise of difference would characterize the manner in which the body of the native is eventually *thingified* and *objectified* under the exigencies of colonial "modernity" and "civilization". Like every form of desire, the colonial desire was a mixture of fantasy and extrapolation of the colonizer who came to believe that the native subject indispensably needed his civilization and protection. Bhabha aptly captures this false premise of colonialist mentality when he insinuates that:

The colonized population is then deemed to be both the cause and effect of the system, imprisoned in the cycle of interpretation. What is visible is the necessity of such rule which is justified by those moralistic and normative ideologies of amelioration recognized as the Civilizing Mission or the White Man's Burden (1994).

The colonizer takes upon himself the moral responsibility of determining the needs of the colonized subject and using his arms of "civilization" to come to the latter's rescue. Along this trajectory of representation, colonization came to symbolize "the loss for agency and free will" (Gikandi, 2004) of the native subject since his existence was a function of the representation of the colonial master. In addressing the colonial experience and its influence on creative representations of power, Ngate (2007) posits that the "colonizers had no trouble at all making the colonized invisible, erasing them as subjects of any kind of meaningful discourses". It is from the position of "invisibility" that postcolonial literature has sought to question successive regimes of power and control over the subject's body.

Whatever the colonial master represented himself, some of the colonized communities internalized the colonial encounter in their collective memory. Internalisation did not however exclude the subject's tactics of subversion and contestation as can be seen from the proliferation of legend, myths, folklore, fables, popular ballads, proverbs, anecdotes, idioms, rumours,<sup>6</sup> etc. that characterise subject representation of colonial encounters in Africa. The colonial encounter, the fate of colonizer/colonized bodies and experiences of dispossession became embedded in riddles, proverbs and folktales, precursors of postcolonial forms of creative writing. In most cases, material and spiritual dis-possession were inseparable as represented in narratives that bring to bear different perceptions of the bodies of the self and other.

In an allegorical folktale published in 1961, Kenyatta represents the question of presumed bodily difference of

<sup>4</sup> On the question of colonial space and desire, see Edward Said *On Late Style* (2007).

<sup>5</sup>All translations are mine.

<sup>6</sup> See Louis White (2000) *Speaking with Vampires: Rumour and History in Colonial Africa*. Berkeley: University of California Press.



the coloniser/colonized through the encounter between the farmer and the elephant and how the latter came to occupy the former's space. Initially, the peasant peacefully inhabits the space of his small hut until a violent storm begins to blow outside. The desperate elephant comes to plead for space to rest its trunk given that the hut cannot accommodate the entire body. After prior hesitation, the man consents to the apparently sincere plea of the elephant. The elephant starts by pushing its trunk inside the hut, but slowly gets its whole body in. At the end, he flings the man out in the rain and comfortably occupies his space with its gigantic body. With sheer impunity, the elephant tells the man that since "...your skin is harder than mine", and as "there is not enough room for both of us, you can afford to remain in the rain while I am protecting my delicate skin from the hailstorm" (p. 48). In despair, the peasant reports the case to the Jungle Court. In the caricatural court session that follows, the defence of the elephant is a caricature of colonial justice, revealing presumptions of corporeal knowledge as it underlies colonial governmentality.

Firstly, the Jungle lords recognize the elephant's right and *duty* to develop the *empty* space for the benefit of the man. Secondly, the body of the elephant deserves priority over that of the man who has to suffer in the rain. Thirdly, in declaring that the elephant's occupation of the space is for the subsequent benefit of the man, the lion and other members of the Jungle pledge to have reflected on their judgment with a high sense of "objectivity". The elephant's version of the situation is trusted by the other members of the court who consider it as objective while that of the poor man's plea is received with ridicule as "emotional" and "subjective". The jury constitutes itself as impartial men "chosen by God to look after the interests of races less adequately endowed with teeth." (p. 49). The poor farmer nurses a sense of grief and grudge due to lack of representation and looks forward to the moment when he shall rightfully re-occupy the space of which cupid and brutal capitalist power has deprived him. The image of the powerful elephant and the helpless man is quite appropriate, for it brings out the power disequilibrium between the occupier and the dispossessed subject. In spite of the activities of the peasant, his space is perceived as an empty space that needs to be put in motion through capitalist activity which only the colonizer can affect. However, one can notice that the story deconstructs the assimilation of the native subject to the animal state and it is instead the colonizers that are portrayed as acting under Jungle law.

This image aptly portrays the question of colonial conquest in Africa as a story of bodies marked by inequality and difference. The corporeal representational codes employed by Kenyatta are characteristic of popular imaginaries of colonial power in many parts of Africa. In the Congo for example, the image of the colonial State was popularized through the myth of the Bula Matari - the crusher of big rocks - represented in the person of Henry

Morton Stanley sent by the Belgian monarch Leopold II to sign colonising treaties in the Congo under the banner of the International Association of the Congo (IAC). The image of Bula Matari and its sheer brutality became a common imagery of the colonial State in Africa as a whole<sup>7</sup>. Though Henry Morton Stanley, the so-called Bula Matari, constructed bridges, roads, railways and other public structures, these came at the cost of immense human sacrifice by subject bodies many of whom died from forced labour.

Young (1994) purports that "Bula Matari, crusher of rocks, managed in a short time to assert a powerful hold on subject society and to smash its resistance...No social contract other than conquest bound its subjects to its rule" (p. 139). The colonial State became imagined in the myth of Bula Matari as a gigantic body that sweeps everything under its path with brutality and whose authority went unquestioned. The desire and impulse to conquer, to possess and to dominate the other's corporal space, glossed over as the prerogative to "protect", constitutes the underlying imaginary of (post)colonial domination. However, in spite of the brutality of Bula Matari, colonial governance in the Belgian Congo sought to present itself as a gentle loving colonial father protecting the interests of the weak infant, the colonial subject. Through this logic, colonial territories were designated and denoted as "protectorates". According to this paternalistic approach, the native was considered as an inchoate that needed to be protected by the father/mother figure of the colonial master against his "propensity for suicide" and self-annihilation" (Fanon, 1963). Apart from its paternalistic dimension, colonialist power also operated on an androgynous imaginary that combines both the mother and father figures, exploiting the attributes of both figures. In his characteristic burlesque mood, Rabelais' Pantagruel asserts that:

Conquered nations are new-born babes; as such they must be given suck, they must be rocked, fondled and amused. Like new-planted trees, they must be supported, propped up, protected from all tempests, injuries and calamities. Like convalescents from lengthy illness, they must be nursed, coddled and cherished (Bakhtin, 1984). The prerogative to protect the inchoate "other" usurps the analogy of "family" to represents and otherwise brutal imperial enterprise. Colonialism thus represents itself as an act of benevolence (Said, 1994). However, this self-narrative of colonisers is deconstructed by postcolonial writers that underline the self-contradictions of the colonial system in relation to the disparity between discourse and practice. This comes out starkly in the text of the Senegalese novelist Kane (1995). He represents the various methods that the colonial master used to ascertain total subjugation in the colonial process as a

<sup>7</sup> Crawford Young (1994). *The African State in Comparative Perspective*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press.

contradiction of “reason” and “objectivity” through which colonial governmentality claims to function. Kane’s text depicts the bewilderment of the indigenous communities in the face of French colonial invasion. The colonial experience is described as a surreptitious event that leaves the captured native in a state of frenzy:

*Étrange aube! Le matin de l’Occident en Afrique noire fut constellé de sourires, de coups de canon et de verroteries brillantes. Ceux qui n’avaient point d’histoire rencontraient ceux qui portaient le monde sur leurs épaules [...] Le monde connu s’enrichissait d’une naissance qui se fit dans la boue et dans le sang* (Kane, 1961: 59). (Strange dawn!! The morning of Western presence in Black Africa was marked by a mixture of smiles, gunshots and shining glass beads. Those who did not have any history were pitched against those who carried the world on their shoulders [...]. The known world was enriched by a birth that took place in mud and blood).

In effect, while it is clear that the colonizing process was accomplished through a mixture of brute force and guile, this might take precedence over right. When peaceful means were used in some circumstances, this was always done in the backdrop of a threat of force. The *modus operandi* of the colonial master is underlined by the arbitrary use of force given that the native subject did not represent any legal personality by virtue of his race and his position in the power matrix. The end justified the means - native subject bodies were sequestered, divided and classified into categories:

*«Le résultat fut le même cependant, partout. Ceux qui avaient combattu et ceux qui s’étaient rendus, ceux qui avaient composé et ceux qui s’étaient obstinés se retrouvèrent le jour venu, recensés, répartis, classés, étiquetés, conscrits, administrés»* (pp. 59-60).

However, the end result was the same, everywhere. Those that fought back and those that surrendered, those that complied and those that stood their grounds all found themselves at the end of it numbered, divided, classified, labelled, conscripted and administered. The colonial administration mapped the local territorial sphere according to a colonial teleology of corporeal difference. Kane’s narrator underlines the ambivalence of colonial conquest by stating that those who killed without mercy also had the power to heal. This refers to the effectiveness of the medical services provided by the colonial administration.

In Kane’s text, *La Grande Royale*, the king’s elder sister and a much respectable figure in the community, argues that Samba Diallo needs to go to the Whiteman’s school so as to learn his secret, especially that of defeating the weak without necessarily being right - «à vaincre

*sans avoir raison»*. Thus, the colonial logic was based on a Darwinist survival of the fittest that offered no room for ethical considerations in human relationship.

In general terms, the responsibility of African authors has been to represent the state of the subject body under regimes of control and governmentality. Many of them used the novel, amidst other artistic forms like poetry and theatre to depict and question the inhumane practices and realities of colonial dispossession. Their texts are characterized by the intention to probe into the past of the community, to re-examine communal ethos and most importantly to examine the impact of historical conditions on the present of the social body (*le corps social*) and to provide a vision for the future. Achebe (1964) captures the obligation of African authors in mapping the trajectories of the African social body:

There is a saying in Ibo that a man who can’t tell where the rain began to beat him cannot know where he dried his body. The writer can tell the people where the rain began to beat them. After all the novelist’s duty is not to beat this morning’s headline in topicality, it is to explore in depth the human condition. In Africa he cannot perform this task unless he has a proper sense of history (p. 159).

In spite of their different modes of inscriptions and representations, many African novels focus on communal dilemmas and anxieties resulting from the African peoples’ past and how it impacted their sense of being and their relation amongst themselves and with the rest of the world. Questions of history and memory become very primordial in African literature given that the past and the present are perceived as enmeshed in highly complex ways. For the novel to comprehensively articulate a vision for the future, the dynamics, complexity and entanglements of the past and present need to be adequately grasped. The African authors’ quest for the meaning of history is not a parochial and inward-looking endeavour. Rather, it is inscribed within an interrogative imagination of the human condition and the intersection of global relations of power and hegemony. The literary practices of African authors in relation to the body and other tropes, though situated in African contexts, also take cognizance of the body’s universal dimension.

### “Redressing” the body of the colonized subject

In a general sense, the colonial enterprise defined itself as a preparatory process, a time of transition whose outcome would be redemptive to the native subjects, considered incapable of autonomous existence and self-realization. In Africa, while the French, through the policy of assimilation sought to turn the colonized into a French man in black skin, the British colonial administrative policy of indirect rule was conceived as transitory and

transformational, the final outcome of which would be the formation of self-government. The implication that political independence was an intended culmination of colonial policy had “formerly characterized British rather than French colonial policy” (Hargreaves, 1995). This fact was to play an important role in the nature of post-independence relationships between the former colonial master and the neo-colony.

The conditions of colonial bondage began to loosen after the Second World War as colonial governments were pressured into making consistent concessions towards the granting of self-determination to the colonized societies. More so, the period between the end of the Second World War and the early sixties in particular coincided with other revolutionary movements elsewhere in the world<sup>11</sup> as countries that were under direct or indirect colonialism rose up against forces of hegemony and imperialism. Though the forces that fought for independence were undermined by internal factions and contestations, there was a certain sense of “moral harmony” (Hargreaves, 1995) in the colonies based on the belief in the justness of the cause. The process of decolonization, the gradual or sudden formal withdrawal of colonial tutelage from their hitherto colonial “protectorates” became perceived as a paradigmatic process of transformation. From whatever perspective, the years preceding independence were years of great expectations in the African colonies.

In many cases, the hope and promise of redemption took shape ironically amidst rather brutal responses to colonial protests that led to many deaths and lack of organizational resources on the side of the anticolonial movements. But these difficulties did not substantially impede the momentum of liberation and the road to self-determination. The incarceration of some nationalist leaders only had the boomerang effect of mythologizing their image amongst the masses that never lost sight of the promise of newness. In other words, the resilient body of the would-be leader of the new nation commanded popular identification as a crystallization of hope for entire communities.

What became evident in most countries in the years of disillusionment was that African nationalism was primarily a struggle against colonialism, not a movement for or toward anything, and its proponents had a better idea of “what they wanted to remove than of what they wanted to

replace with it” (Wright, 1997). Political representation and citizens’ sense of belonging became a major question in the newly independent States. Instead of a framework of citizens’ representation that guaranteed a sense of belonging, the State was perceived as a marginalizing structure taken hostage by a set of rent-seeking politicians. The magic of the revolutionary leader’s body, a prior image of resistance and collective spirit, later on was turned into the incarnation of a mythical conception of leadership by those in positions of power, repressing contesting voices and impeding a democratic conception of political space. Achebe captures the new dispensation through the triangular images of the house, body and rain to vividly portray the manner in which the political elite domesticated the crucial moment of transformation in Africa. In Achebe’s 1966 novel, the narrator, Odili states that:

The trouble with our new nation as I saw it then lying on the bed was that none of us had been indoors enough to be able to say, ‘to hell with it’. We had all been in the rain until yesterday. Then a handful of us – the smart and the lucky but hardly ever the best – had scrambled for the one shelter our former rulers left and had taken it over and barricaded themselves in. And from within they sought to persuade the rest through numerous loudspeakers that the first phase of the struggle had been won and that the next phase – the extension of the house – was even more important and called for new and original tactics; it required that all arguments should cease and the whole people speak with one voice and that any more dissent and argument outside the door of the shelter would subvert and bring down the whole house (p. 37).

Thus, independence did not symbolize considerable change but rather the replacement of one class of oppressors by another, with its novel practices of exclusion. The image of the body comes out as a paradigmatic metaphor in Achebe’s representation of exclusion. While the bodies of the powerful claim physical and epistemic spaces, the bodies of the subject are battered by the rains. The postcolonial subject, as in Kenyatta’s folktale, is made to face the harshness of the environment even when the State, represented as a supposedly all-inclusive house, has been won from the colonial master amidst hope of change and transformation.

In this situation, there recurs the internalization of “corporal” difference and binary reality reminiscent of the colonizer/colonized relationship. The heritage of the colonial masters is taken hostage by a new set of rulers who demand sacrifices from the people while they are impudently reaping the benefits of the “privatized” national shelter. The people are thereby continually tantalized about a prospective transformation – “the extension of the house”. “Tomorrow” as an elusive time-

<sup>11</sup>Some of these movements include The Black Civil Rights Movement in the United States, Mao Tse Tsung’s communist revolution in China in 1949 and the 1959 overthrow of the government of Fulgencio Batista’s regime in Cuba by the guerilla led by Fidel Castro, Raul Castro, Che Guevara and others. In Ayi Kwei Armah’s *The Beautiful Ones Are Not Yet Born*, one of the characters, symbolically referred to as “the teacher” makes mention of these two figures as symbols of new eras coming to fruition. The man sadly recalls the time “When Teacher had talked of people standing up and deciding then and there to do what ages and millions had called impossible, had talked of the Chinese Mao and the Cuban Castro struggling in the face of a reasonable hope.”(1968, 91)

line of the birth of “something new” becomes a problematic temporality, representing deferred expectations. It is therefore on the basis of the impartiality with which the heritage of the “house” (a metaphor of State power) has been misappropriated in the postcolony that concepts like nation-building and patriotism are greeted with scepticism and cynicism amongst many postcolonial subjects. The referential cohesion between State, nation, leader and people are thus problematized. The bodies that make up the nation are thus placed on an aggregate paradigm of privilege and deprivation, comfort and imprisonment, depending on the location of the individual in relation to the power centre.

In Tansi's work (1983), Dadou, an unjustly imprisoned school teacher, reflects on the relationship of his state of imprisonment with the liberty enjoyed by the powerful “others”, especially those who use their political connections to make others undergo undue suffering.

*“On est en prison parce que d'autres, là-bas, chantent les plats et les chansons. On est en prison, simplement parce que, là-bas, des gens parlent de football. Et il faut bien qu'ils parlent. Sinon le monde s'arrêterait. Pour qu'ils parlent en paix, d'autres gens doivent être sur la natte, en prison, écrasés.”* (91) (We are in prison because others are there, singing about plates and songs. We are in prison simply because, there, others are talking about football. And it is necessary that they talk. If not the world will come to an end. In other that they may talk in peace, other people need to be on the mat, in prison, smashed).

Power and influence are thus portrayed through the metaphor of unequal bodies, bodies of power being able to constrain and limit the freedom of the less powerful “others”. Politics is turned into a zero sum game whereby those who contest the regime are detained and imprisoned under horrendous and unbearable conditions in order that the state barons can prey in tranquillity on public resources.

The condition of bodies that cannot share a common political space runs counter to the imagination of ethics and the body in the philosophy of Emmanuel Levinas. In an article published in 2011, Altez-Abela asserts that Levinas' ethics on the body is based on the ethics of mutual complementarity, the imperative of the body of the self to that of the other in a kind of transcendental coexistence.

The zero-sum vision of power thus contravenes the Levinasian imagination of the body as what is there for the other, not as an entity to be possessed and subjectified, but as a topos that generates an interpersonal ethical obligation. At the same time as Tansi's body in captivity is a symbol, it also captures the material bodily pain, underlying what Coole refers to as the body's location within the “material and affective worlds” wherein the flesh feels the onslaught of violence “with raw immediacy” (2005).

### **Phallic virility and power: Between colonial conquest and postcolonial autocracy**

Power in the postcolony, as in the image of the colonial empire before it discussed in the first part of this article, has often been represented in both literary texts and popular culture as phallic conquest. Colonial enterprise was a geographical and racial variable of a form of domination that characterizes male practice of power over the female and the environment. This subconscious basis of power underlies the postcolonial State, created on the myths of the invincible and virile founding father, the Head of State, the Father of the Nation, Father of independence, etc. Subjects of the new nation are therefore supposed to go through the same convalescence and tendering process enjoyed/endured by a newly planted tree and a new-born baby by the *initial agent* that wills it into being. Within the pseudo-cultural mind-set of family conviviality with its legitimizing gloss of “protection” of the “weak” by the “strong” the father figure seeks to evade accountability to those he governs.

In his seminal work published in 1997, Taussig analyses the phenomenal “martyrology” of the founding figure of the State in postcolonial dictatorial regimes. This is essentially based on the engrafting of the discourse of anti-colonial struggle in such a way that the liberty of the people is literally folded into the corporeal palimpsest of the potentate. The body of the potentate, even though it undergoes the common metabolic processes of a normal body, becomes the substance of the “mystical interior of the State, the mist that surrounds its being”. In a way, we can talk of the potentate's two bodies: the official body encumbered with discourses of power, sacrifice and redemption and the normal body that responds to the common forces of nature. In comparing the human and baseness of the potentate's body with the mythical level to which it is elevated as an incarnation of the spirit of a quasi-Hegelian spirit of history, Taussig remarks that:

Here where the body becomes the stage of nothingness upon which the great drama of stately forms can parade alongside rampant impulses and aborted significations, where disembodiment gives way to other embodiments secreting magic force, here is the scene of the “gateway”, the portal, serene in the necessity of its *mission impossible* (1997, p. 39)

The narrative of the martial ingenuity of the anti-colonialist nationalists is imprinted on the presidential body that demands both the homage paid to a martyr and the adulation of miraculous survivor. It is a dead-living as well as a private-public body, the site or the centre of “the circulation of the ghostly image of the Nation-State” and a space for the celebration of its “apocalyptic triumphalism”. This view re-joins the scathing attack made by Tansi who states that:

The word “founding father” carried with it a magical halo

that tragically suggested the idea of a shameless founding father endowed with patriotic, national, and procreative powers; powers that in turn carry a hint of the notion of the fatherland as the product of an act of birthing, in some infamous tower, cut off from reality, as if nations can emerge from a baker's oven. (2007).

This critique underlines the dialectical relations in societies that bring about historical changes as opposed to conceiving history's movement as the result of acts of singular individuals. In this passage, the image of the potentate's body as an androgynous and auto-reproductive body is underlined. In line with Sloterdijk's conception of spaces, the potentate's body becomes a Thanatop (or Theotop/Ikonotop), a body-space of "revelation of ancestors, the dead, the spirit and the gods of the group, offering to this group a semiological connection, a gateway for the manifestations of the 'beyond'" (Rouanet, 2011). In this logic, the Christlike sacrificial body of the potentate represents the nadir of pain and suffering, but also the condition *sine qua non* for the redemption of the nation. Thus, this ideologized poesis of the potentate becomes a recurrent raw material in postcolonial dictatorship novels which seek to bring the body back to the world, underlining its prosaic and mundane dimension as opposed to its ideological conversion into a mythical devotional site of national redemption.

### Deconstructing the redemptive body of the potentate

The exceptional character of the "Father of the Nation" image in postcolonial political sphere has been a source of inspiration to African novelists. In this section, the researcher considers the novel, *Wizard of the Crow* (2006) by the Kenyan author Ngugi wa Thiong'o as an exemplary case. Ngugi's text is a burlesque representation of a dictatorial regime that is narrated according to the Biblical genotext. His narrative traps the President of the fictional Republic of Aburiria, simply referred to as The Ruler, in his own illusion of power. The text deconstructs the redemptive image which the Ruler claims to re-present and re-situates him within the worldly context to which he belongs. With what appears to be a mixture of Beckettian theatre of the absurd and Rabelaisian burlesque novel, Ngugi's narrative deconstructs the Judeo-Christian imagery which the Ruler attempts to immitate. After 'conquering' the force of gravity like Jesus Christ, the Ruler's body is unable to go beyond the ceiling in his final act of "ascension". *Wizard of the Crow* and the Bible therefore follow the same genotext but respond to rather different intentionalities as can be seen from the end of the two stories. The Biblical version symbolizes the promise of redemption and an imminent Second coming of Christ:

He was taken up before their very eyes, and a cloud hid

him from their sight. They were looking intently up into the sky as he was going, when suddenly two men dressed in white stood beside them. "Men of Galilee", they said "Why do you stand here looking into the sky? This same Jesus, who has been taken from you into heaven, will come back in the same way you have seen him go into heaven" (Act 1, pp. 9-11)

On the other hand, the Ruler's ascension is framed within a worldly context, an expression of absurdist aspirations of a worldly leader. The Ruler's divine pretensions are limited by the materiality of his worldly body:

Tajirika looked up at the ceiling and his jaw fell; he took a step backward for a clearer view. He could not believe his eyes. The Ruler's legs hung in the air, his head touching the ceiling and his whole body gently swaying. "Don't just stand there with your mouth open – get me down," the Ruler told him [...] The Ruler's body, now more passive than ever, seemed impossibly light; only the ceiling prevented him from floating away. Tajirika stood on the chair and grasped at the Ruler's feet, but no matter how often he did, the Ruler would rise again like a balloon (*WC*, p. 650).

Instead of the eleven disciples who stand in awe at the ascending Christ as cited below, in Ngugi's parodic ascension scenery, it is the corrupt character of Tajirika, the epitome of corruption and mundane graft who beholds the entrapped body of the president. This form of de-narrating the body of the ruler and re-instating its worldliness can be aligned with Bakhtin's views with regard to burlesque representations of the body:

Things are tested and re-evaluated in the dimensions of laughter, which has defeated fear and gloomy seriousness. This is why the material bodily lower scrotum is needed, for it gaily and simultaneously materializes and unburdens. It liberates objects from illusions of sublimation inspired by fear (1984, p. 376).

It is through the deconstruction of the myth of the president's body that an egalitarian conception of democratic power can be born. The president's body is divested of its difference that sets it above all other bodies, granting him the prerogative to dehumanize other bodies as belonging to a lower order of existence. Thus, Ngugi's novel, as it is the case with a vast tradition of dictatorship novels spanning across Africa and Latin America, is aimed at deconstructing the premise of corporeal difference of the potentate, one of the greatest myths of difference that have succeeded colonial discourses of difference.

### Conclusion

The main argument of this study is that corporeality

provides an elaborate paradigm of representation of the interrelated regimes of subjection in the colony as well as the postcolony. In examining the historical representations of power from the era of colonial encounter to the post-independence era, the body image is most apt in revealing and interrogating the various transformations of discourses of difference that account for power inequality, hegemonic relations and political exclusion. In the colonial encounter and the ensuing colonial regimes, a certain interpretation of racial body difference leads to the signification of the “other’s” body. Instead of envisioning new modes of corporeality, independence re-positions the presumptions of corporeal difference, converting the leader’s body into an epitome of privileged rights as opposed to subject bodies, essential bodies of unconditional civic obligation and violent exaction. The conception of difference prevents the elevation of the colonial subject into a postcolonial citizen. Thus, more than being a political event, decolonisation proves to be a long-drawn epistemological process of de-constructing not only the premises of colonial difference, but also subverting new paradigms of difference that continues to emerge within postcolonial societies themselves.

For the purpose of this study, the researcher has discussed the potentate’s body as one of the dimensions of difference in postcolonial political sphere, which through state ideological and repressive apparatus is projected as the topos of national redemption. It is thus in reaction to the myth of the potentate’s body that sets itself above the citizenry that postcolonial dictatorship novels attempt to inspire alternative epistemological relationship of bodies based on dignity and mutual respect. African creative writing therefore constitutes an important avenue of decolonization, engaging notions of corporeal difference, reasserting the humaneness of subject bodies and interrogating the self-images of hegemonic regimes, colonial and postcolonial alike. With regard to postcolonial dictatorship novel, the tendency has been to employ burlesque, scatological and eschatological aesthetics to deny the order of difference associated with the potentate’s body and to re-imagine a more representative political coexistence through corporeal metaphoricity and vitality.

### Conflict of interests

The author has not declared any conflict of interests.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author acknowledge the Fritz Thyssen Foundation for supporting him through its Research Fund during the writing of this study.

### REFERENCES

- Achebe C (1964). “The Role of the Writer in a New Nation.” *Nigeria Magazine*. No. 81:157-160. Available at: <http://www.worldcat.org>
- Achebe C (1966). *A Man of the People*. London: Heinemann.
- Altez-Albela F (2011). “The Body and Transcendence in Emmanuel Levinas’ Phenomenological Ethic”. *Kritik*, 5(1):36-50. Available at: [http://www.kritike.org/journal/issue\\_9/altez-albela\\_june2011.pdf](http://www.kritike.org/journal/issue_9/altez-albela_june2011.pdf)
- Bakhtin M (1984). *Rabelais and His World*. Trans. Helene Iswolsky. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Bhabha H (1994). *The Location of Culture*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Certeau M (1975). *L’Ecriture de l’histoire*. Paris: Gallimard.
- Coole D (2005). “Rethinking Agency: A Phenomenological Approach to Embodiment and Agenic Capacities. *Political Stud.* 53:124-142.
- Fanon F (1963). *The Wretched of the Earth*. Trans. Constance Farrington. New York: Grove Press.
- Hargreaves JD (1995). *Decolonization in Africa*. 2nd Ed. London: Longman.
- Kenyatta J (1961). *Facing Mt. Kenya*. London: Mercury Books.
- Kane CH (1995). *L’ aventure ambiguë*. Paris: Julliard.
- Mbembe A (2001). *On the Postcolony*. Berkley: University of California Press.
- Ngate J (2007). *A Continent and its Literatures in French*. In *African Literature: An Anthology of Criticism and Theory*. Eds. Tejumola Olaniyan and Ato Quayson. Malden: Blackwell Publishers. Pp. 46-53
- Ngugi wa Thiong’o (2006). *Wizard of the Crow*. New York: Havil Sacker.
- Nietzsche F (2001). *Gay Science*. Cambridge Texts in the History of Philosophy. Ed. Bernard Williams Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Rabinow P (1984). *The Foucault Reader. An Introduction to Foucault's Thought*. London: Penguin Books.
- Richter G (2000). *Walter Benjamin and the Corpus of Autobiography*. Detroit: Wayne State University Press.
- Rouanet BF (2011). “The Trilogy of Spheres of Peter Sloterdijk. Available at: [www.iop.or.jp/Documents/.../Journal21\\_Rouanet.pd](http://www.iop.or.jp/Documents/.../Journal21_Rouanet.pd).
- Said EW (1994). *Culture and Imperialism*. Vintage Books: London.
- Slattery DP (2000). *The Wounded Body: Remembering the Markings of Flesh*. New York: State University of New York Press.
- Struver A (2004). “Boundaries under the Construction: Linking Body Politics to Space.” *Espace, Populations, Société*. pp. 121-130
- Tansi SL (1983). *L’Anté-Peuple*. Paris: Seuil.
- Tansi SL (2007). “An Open Letter to Africans c/o The Punic One Party State” in Tejumola Olaniyan and Ato Quayson (Eds.) *African Literature: An Anthology of Criticism and Theory*. Malden: Blackwell Publishers. pp.54-60
- Taussig M (1997). *The Magic of the State*. New York and London: Routledge.
- Wright D (1997). *New Directions in African Fiction*. London: Twayne Publishers.
- Young C (1994). *The African State in Comparative Perspective*. London: Yale University Press.

Review

## Socio-political satire in Femi Osofisan's Many Colours Make the Thunder-King

Olushola Ayodeji Akanmode

University Wide Courses Unit, Landmark University, Omu Aran, Kwara State, Nigeria.

Received 25 January, 2016; Accepted 25 April 2016

Oral literature is a vital tool that is sometimes deployed by African writers for criticizing the social and political situations in their countries with the aim of challenging and proffering solutions to unpleasant and oppressive practices by its leaders. Femi Osofisan's *Many Colours Make the Thunder-King* exemplifies this situation. It is observed that oral literature is not usually considered as a possible means of solving the social and economic challenges encountered in African countries. This study examines Nigeria's situation by focusing on the identifiable traditional aesthetics deployed in *Many Colours Make the Thunder-King* as a means of satirizing Nigerian leaders and also for possible reconstruction of their characters towards enhancement of a better society. It is imperative for Nigerian leaders to learn and apply other strategies that would be useful in eradicating the hydra-headed challenges confronting the nation. This paper considers the application of oral literature, a possible means of solving the social-political and economic problems ravaging the country. The research methodology used for this study is qualitative. A sociological approach is adapted to evaluate the African oral aesthetics deployed in the literary text. This study concludes and recommends that Nigerian leaders should go back to their traditional roots to seek the basis for economic, political and social innovation and progress if they are to succeed in developing the country.

**Key words:** African oral literature, challenges, possible means, relevance, satire, socio-political.

### INTRODUCTION

A literary writer as a member of a society is expected to be sensitive to the social and political happenings around him, and not just that but beyond the portrayal of negative social image and happenings, his obligation is to shape and direct the affairs of the society. A literary work is more relevant if it dwells on the consciousness and experience of the populace, reflecting their dispositions such as sadness, anger, condemnation, bitterness, crave for change and so on.

The literary writer must not overlook contemporary social and political problems ravaging his society, "all art is propaganda, though not all propaganda is art" (Achebe, 1964). It is expedient for the literary artist to expose and attack the ills and injustice in his society without fear or favour. For instance, it will be insensitive for any literary writer in Nigeria now to write anything outside the prevailing daily experienced dilapidation in the social, political and economic issues in the country

E-mail: [sholicks@yahoo.com](mailto:sholicks@yahoo.com).

Authors agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



ranging from corruption, election manipulation, poor infrastructures, lack of basic amenities, unemployment, dwindling economy, rampant fuel scarcity, Boko Haram insurgency associated with incessant bombings to insecurity, religious bigotry, kidnapping and so on. To buttress this point, Akano (2013) describes the role of the artist in the 21st century as:

Not quite different from what art helps do in society. It is climate and time which often impose compelling artistic tasks. We may say that in the 21st century Nigeria, there is enough discontent in the polity or affairs of the nation that is more engaging for the artist

It is also imperative for a literary writer to choose and better still adhere to a particular literary genre through which he will disseminate his ideas. Every writer is at liberty to choose any convenient genre out of the three main literature genres of drama, prose or poetry. By using a qualitative research methodology, this study evaluates the African oral aesthetics deployed in Osofisan's *Many Colours Make the Thunder-King* with the aim of satirizing the unpleasant social and political situation in Nigeria and also proffering possible solutions to her leaders.

## DISCUSSION

### Osofisan: Explorer of oral art

Osofisan is one of the prominent second generation of literary writers in Nigeria whose works through the genre of drama, centre round the theme of social and political tribulations that came with the oil boom in Nigeria in the 70's. Osofisan commits his writings to the expression of African tradition and culture through the medium of English Language. It is evident through his theatre that he identifies with the down trodden masses in Nigeria as he devotes his plays to championing the proletariat revolt against oppressive state structures. As a total theatre practitioner, Osofisan employs the devices of oral literary form and the traditional performance mode in his plays not just for thematic and aesthetic effects, but also to make progressive and ideological political statements. Osofisan has once admitted that modern African playwrights restructure oral traditions in their works, stating that "they take legendary and mythical figures and bring them on stage, mostly to revive a tradition, identify with a glorious past, and reclaim a heritage" (Osofisan, 1974). *Many Colours Make the Thunder-King* first published in 1999 as *Recent Outings* comprising: *Tegonni: An African Antigone* and *Many Colours Make the Thunder-King* is one out of many other plays by Osofisan that combines African oral literature with Western techniques as a means of dealing with social and political problems in Nigeria.

### Preamble on oral literature

Oral Literature may be defined according to Bukonya and Nandwa (1983) as, "those utterances whether spoken, recited or sung, whose composition and performance exhibit to an appreciable degree the artistic characteristics of accurate observation, vivid imagination and ingenious expression". Most literary writings in the world first existed in oral forms (Awoonor, 1974; Uka, 1980; Chinweizu et al., 1980). These oral literary forms include but are not limited to; myths, legends, tales, riddles, songs, proverbs, epics and so on. It is in this context that Irele (1981) sees oral literature as "the fundamental inter-text of the African imagination".

African oral literature embraces the entire spectrum of the Africans ways of life, thoughts and ideas in terms of philosophy, feelings, behaviours, psychology, relationship with fellow Africans, and his ways of expressing the relationship in terms of socio cultural values etc. Prose narratives- myths, legends, folktales, riddles and jokes- are the most collected form of African oral literature, but also of equal importance are proverbs, riddles, songs, poetry, praise names, and tongue twisters from where all forms of drama evolved.

### Many colours make the Thunder-King: Ideology and content

The Yoruba traditional beliefs in divinities, spirits, mysteries, rituals, sacrifices as intermediaries through which they can reach and appease the Supreme Being, Olodumare inform the actions and attitudes of the characters in most of Osofisan's plays. Historical figures in Yoruba land such as Oduduwa, Oranmiyan, Moremi, Esu, Obatala, Orunmila and Ogun are freely mentioned in his plays.

Of the deified ancestors, Oduduwa and Sango are among the ancient Yoruba heroic men and women who have made useful contribution to the life and culture of the people and as such were deified. A popular legend among the Yoruba states that Sango was a human being who reigned as the fourth Alafin of Oyo. After his death, he was deified as Sango, the god of lightning and thunder who strikes as a manifestation of the wrath of Olodumare descending on the evil people in the community (Sekoni, 1988).

*Many Colours Make the Thunder-King* retells, in dramatic form, the story of Sango, the thunder King of Yoruba folklore, and his desire to transcend childhood, becoming a self-sufficient adult free from the influence of his legendary father, Oranmiyan.

**Sango:** Our people say- A man begets a child, and later, the child becomes a father

**Igunnun:** But they also add, my fiery King: "The child, however tall, does not become a man until he has grown to become the father of his father!" (1.1: 151).

He sends for an Ifa priest in order to find out the procedure to achieve this great feat. The priest after casting his nuts said he must marry a river and thereafter marry a forest and mountain in addition: namely Oya, Osun and Oba.

The play therefore sets out to answer a riddle; how can a man marry a river, a forest, and a mountain? The symbolic meaning of these three figures is subsequently revealed in the play. The Yoruba belief in the intermittent associations between the celestial and the terrestrial bodies is also portrayed in the play. The gods it is believed seldom come to human communities to restore and cleanse the earth. In one of such missions, Alagemo, the Yoruba primordial god, transforms into the human form to assist Sango, King of Igbeti at that time, to restore peace and sanity to the war torn community. This he did through master minding his marriage to Oya, Osun and almost succeeded in marrying Oba too but the plan was truncated by the first two wives (Oya and Osun) and the chiefs (Gbonka and Timi), because they thought she would be a threat to the dynasty. Failure to marry her however led to the downfall of Sango and his empire. The symbolic figures of a river, forest and mountain represent the three stabilizing forces a leader must be identified with which are prosperity (river), humanity (forest) and humility (mountain). Osofisan's interest in Sango's myth is not the belief in the content of the story but the philosophical essence of the whole experience. He uses the story as an analogy to political leadership in Nigeria (since Independence) with the observation that the "Sangoistic" tendencies are still prominent in the corridors of power and this has jeopardized the growth and development of the country in spite of her resources. All succeeding leaders have failed to channel the course to national prosperity. Rather, they are constrained by personal ego and complacency (Obafemi AND Abdulahi, 2006).

Sango's inordinate ambition nudges him onto many adventures without recourse to their societal effects. He seeks to surpass his predecessors in achievement by accepting to marry spirits. Osofisan assumes the traditional role of Igunnun, the masquerade storyteller, who features annually in the Yoruba festival of communion (with the ancestors) to emphasize the leaders' continuous crave for excessive power and the selfish desire to subdue the citizens. Sango's insatiable quest for power urges him to explore the world of spirits as a mark of his supremacy over his predecessors. He eventually comes to realise that it is not enough to be rich and powerful; one must also conquer the pride within oneself and be humble.

**Sango:** I am now a fugitive. I wanted to be greater than my father! But look where fate has pushed me! Even lower than the worst of my predecessors... (2.40: 237).

The play ends on a sad note as Sango loses everything he had including his Kingdom, wives, children, properties

and even his life, which he terminates by hanging himself. Sango's misadventure of being greedy, power-drunk and proud eventually led to his downfall. This is the lesson Osofisan wants to teach Nigerian leaders in their apparent misconduct and lawlessness.

### **African literary aesthetics and dramatic techniques in Many Colours Make the Thunder-King**

The allegorical play is a rich feast of theatre experience as the playwright uses various total theatre techniques including songs and dance to personify the inanimate, and celebrate all that keep the earth alive such as river, plant and animals.

### **Festival Motif**

Festivals in African Communities are occasions of merriment and joyous celebration through which ritual propitiation and sacrifice are offered by the community in order to control and dominate the natural forces around them. In essence, festivals are held mainly to offer sacrifices to ancestors for peace and blessing. They involve specialized music, dance and costume which serve as media for the interaction between the empyrean and the terrestrial. The ancestral spirits are believed to appear as masquerades or possess one of the citizens or reside in an object to enable the community commune with them. They return to the land of the living from time to time to look after those they left behind and exchange views and ideas aimed at providing guidance for their socio-economic and political well-being. They are also devised as an effective instrument for maintaining law and order in the community. Osofisan makes use of the Igunnun festival in *Many Colours Make the Thunder-King*. The festival originated from the Nupe tribe of Nigeria and spread to the Yoruba enclave in the South West.

**Song Leader:** Baba Igunnoko, father of masks, Welcome again from the land of the dead! It is a year since you last visited us... (prologue: 145).

The Igunnu's annual visit is characterized by different performances involving drumming, singing, dancing, story-telling and riddles. To emphasize the use of multi-media devices in the play, Osofisan concentrates on the ceremonial aspect of the festival, which brings the whole community together.

### **Use of proverbs, parables and riddles**

The Yoruba language, which informs the setting of the play, is very rich in proverbs, idioms and parables. Osofisan abundantly exploits verbal communication; a central aspect of Yoruba world-view in this work. He uses it to enhance the dynamics of human interaction in his

characterization through language. To start with, the play itself sets out to answer a riddle: how can a man marry a river, a forest and a mountain?

**Song Leader:** Igunnun, we do not follow your riddle! Why should a man even think of marrying a river?

**Igunnun:** Suppose he does my people? What step must he take next?

**Voices:** Tell us! Tell us yourself!

**Igunnun:** My friends, the answer is simple: If a man dares and marries a river, then he must also bring in a forest as her co-wife! (prologue:146)

**Igunnun:** A riddle! A riddle! If a man marries a River, and goes on to marry a forest, Tell me, my friends, what must he do to dispel the danger of an early death? The answer is simple, my friends! He must go to the mountain and ask for her hand! He must take the mountain for his third wife, or he'll never know peace in his life! (prologue: 148).

More riddles are used in the play during Alagemo's encounter with the ants inside the cave where he was locked up on Sango's orders. To regain his freedom, the ants offered to assist him but only on the condition that he passes a test, which comes in form of seven riddles as a sign of solidarity of every living thing.

**Ant:** Tell us, what looks at the valley, but never steps into it?

**Alagemo:** The Mountain!

**Ant:** Good! What is long, but has no shadow?

**Alagemo:** The road

**Ant:** What is it that always stands, and never sits down?

**Alagemo:** The tree

e t c. (2.24: 209).

Furthermore, just as the play is in the form of a riddle, it is also in the form of a parable. The parable of Sango, his two wives, Oya, and Osun, his loyal generals; Timi and Gbonka, and also his faithful servant, Alagemo.

**Igunnun:** ... It's a long story, my friends

**Voices:** Tell us! Tell us!

**Igunnun:** Settle down then, we're going start. (prologue: 148)

Similarly, the play centres round an appropriate proverb by Igunnun.

**Igunnun:** "The child, however tall does not become a man, until he has grown. To become the father of his father. (1.1: 151)

### Music, song and dance embellishment

There are hardly any of Osofisan's plays that do not

employ the traditional Yoruba music, song and dance. Songs are core to performance in most African traditional settings. As stated by Obafemi (1996) "no traditional performance can be conceived outside poetry (songs) and folktales on the one hand, and music and dance on the other hand. Of all the verbal arts however, song is the commonest". It features frequently in and outside performance because it is easily accessible. Events are recorded and are easily recalled through a song. Awodiya quotes Izevbaye remarks after watching a production of one of Osofisan's plays:

"I noticed people humming and singing some of the tunes as they left the theatre" (1988: 225).

In Osofisan's theatre, songs are however not just all about entertainment, they carry strong social messages. Dance, "the rhythmic movement of human body, in time and to make statements, is a continuous thread in the fabric of the existence of man and his society" (Bakare, 2002). It is used as a medium of expression for all levels of the society on occasions of ritual and social significance, and it is the most popular form of recreation and entertainment. A common feature of dance is its dependence on music but in a situation where there is no music, Africans sing, clap their hands, drag, hit or tap their feet on the floor to produce music to accompany their movements.

In Osofisan's theatre, there is a resourceful use of songs, music and dance as metaphors in manners that make them pre-emptive, proactive and interactive. The three elements possess participatory qualities because they evoke responses from the audience. They serve as means through which the audience actually partake of the action on stage.

Many Colours Make the Thunder-King opens with song, music and dance to welcome a mask as part of annual communion with the ancestral spirit, Igunnun.

The sound of Dundun drums, shekere, Agogo and Flute, accompanied by singing, welcomes us, as the lights come up on stage, to a public square... (prologue: 144)

In another instance, they are used during Osun's departure from Igbeti after her banishment from the palace by Sango on the false allegation of sexual immorality.

**Alagemo:** ...But what's that I hear? Drum? A song of lament? Ah, it's Osun's procession. Sango will you really let her leave? (1.23: 204).

Other instances are during the clothe changing scene with Sango, Alagemo and Oya (1.2: 161-164), and during the colour embellishment scene when Alagemo endowed the birds with colours in exchange for their voices, (1.6-8: 168-173).

### Audience involvement

Osofisan provides avenue either in the opening, mid-way or at the end of some of his plays for the audience to participate in the actions through songs, dance, questions, riddles and so on. The integration of the audience is a structural component that is embedded in African oral literary arts, particularly the folktales. According to Adedeji (1998)

African audiences do not want to be passive. Oral tradition provides them with direct means of vicarious participation in the production. They react to lines, often join in the songs, repeat dialogues, move in rhythm to the action on stage.

The integration of the audience in his plays is to remove the dividing wall between actors and the audience. Osofisan resolves the issue of audience participation in his dramaturgy by categorizing his audience into what Obafemi and Abdulahi (2006) describe as 'integral' and 'integrated' audience. The integral audience forms a corporate part of the play, while the integrated audience is the theatre audience, which is also sporadically drawn into the performance.

In the prologue to the play, the song leader, drummer, women, elder and voices form an integral part of the play (as audience to Igunnun, the master story-teller). They partake in the opening of the narrative and urges Igunnu to unravel the story in form of a riddle of why a man must not marry a river (prologue: 146). A member of the integrated audience is also allowed to participate in the play during the riddle scene involving Alagemo and the ants:

**Ant:** Now the last one! What is-

A member of the audience breaks in

**Member of the Audience:** can I ... will you, please, allow me to propose the last riddle?

**Ant:** Who are you?

**Member of the Audience:** I need to be sure you're not cheating.

**Ant:** And what business of yours is it if we do?

**Member of the Audience:** I bought a ticket! If Alagemo is not released, how will I see the end of the story. (2.24: 210)

### Multiple role-playing

Osofisan uses the dramatic technique of multiple role-playing in advancing the major themes of his plays. This is a process whereby the characters come out in full view of the audience to take on other roles; different from the ones they have been playing. Besides enhancing the Alienation Effect where the actors are detached emotionally from their roles, the technique also de-mystify

any ritual significance of roles such as empyrean figure, where the character utilizes a mask prop like the Igunnun masquerade in *Many Colours Make the Thunder-King*. The eventual de-robing of Igunnun in the full view of the audience, in the event of a change in his earlier role as a masquerade, to Alagemo, the chameleon in human form, completes the de-mystification of the ritual significance of the mask; "it also emphasizes the contemporary relevance of the festival" (Osofisan, 1974).

Taking out his sword, he attacks the tree, joined by his generals. Soon, we heard a loud groan, and the fruit comes crashing down. Shouting with triumph, SANGO goes to the fruit. As soon as he touches it, it splits open, and ALAGEMO, the human incarnation of the chameleon, dances out. It is preferably, the same actor who played IGUNNUN.

**Sango:** You again, Igunnun? How did you-

**Alagemo:** No, not Igunnun any more, that was only one of my masks! Call me Alagemo, Kabiyesi. (1.1: 156-157)

### Magical devices

These are employed in many of Osofisan's drama as a means of adding colour to the play, and also make the audience believe that the extraordinary can be attained. In *Many Colours Make the Thunder-King*, the magical device is applied in instances of transformation particularly the clothes changing acts performed during Sango's courtship with Oya. The magical formula here lies in the music and song played by Sango. It serves as catalyst for Alagemo to achieve his magical feat of changing his clothes and that of Sango to exactly that of Oya and Ireti, her attendant.

**Alagemo:** Ah Princess! How beautiful you are. If you'll change into something befitting to accompany you. Such were the order of our King.

**Oya:** It's all right.

**Alagemo:** Can you please turn your backs? ... They do so, and Alagemo signals to Sango to play. As he plays, both their clothes change to the same colours as the princess's... (1.2: 162)

Other extraordinary acts displayed include: the transformation of the Iroko tree to Odon tree (1.1: 155), the transformation of the Odon fruit to Alagemo (1.1: 156), changing of the drab-coloured birds to beautiful colours after embracing Alagemo (1.7:170), emergence of the goddess of Iroko tree from the belly of the tree which opened like a door (1.17: 191) and Alagemo's transformation to Oya's baby when he was about to be sacrificed (2.38: 233).

## Personification

This technique invests abstractions or inanimate objects with human qualities. It is borrowed by the playwright from the African folktale tradition which is usually of stories woven round animals that converse like human beings such as tortoise, dog, elephant, lion, and rabbit and so on. In the play, Osofisan personifies animals such as birds as he makes them to talk and sing during the period Alagemo and Sango were in the forest to woo Osun, (1.7-8: 169-171), turtle as a messenger that spoke to Oya and also ushered in Alagemo and Sango at Oya's palace, (1.2: 159-160), and ants who were made to engage Alagemo in a dialogue inside the cave where he was left to die. He also regained his freedom through their help after passing the riddle test they subjected him to. They dug a tunnel leading to the outskirts of the town through which he escaped. Imagine, ants achieving such strenuous and difficult task! It translates that our leaders can achieve the almost impossible too if only they make genuine and concerted efforts. Plants, such as food crops and flowers were also personified as they are made to take human form in Osun's procession when she was leaving the land of Igbeti, (2.22: 202).

Apart from giving the play a folktale outlook, personifying inanimate objects by Osofisan also stirs the emotion of the audience. Take for instance, the ants rendering assistance to Alagemo, when human beings whom he had laboured for treated him unjustly.

**Member of the Audience:** Yes, that will satisfy you, no doubt. You're ants!

Ants: Listen to that. "You're ants!" as if we're not the ones to do the digging, while you just sit there and watch!... We'll get out of here. Come my friends. Let's start digging! We'll make a tunnel from here, to the outskirts of the kingdom. (2.24-25: 210-211)

## Narrator technique

This folkloric device is used in the play to offer background information, fill in the gaps between events and enhance audience's direct interaction with the stage. Osofisan's narrator as in folktales, displays power of oratory and corresponding body movements that motivate the theatre audience, he also appropriately directs other stage actions. These characteristics are aptly displayed through Igunnun who is the narrator in *Many Colours Make the Thunder-King*.

The narrator not only functions as a guide to make the audience understand the story better, his appearance also removes all forms of illusions about any direct relationship between the story and the audience's reality. As the narrator calls for a dramatization of events, the dramatization mellows the fantasy attributed to fairy tales.

In addition, the technique establishes a rapport between the actors and the audience in a manner analogous to the folktale tradition. In the play, the crowd joins Igunnun, the master storyteller, in the familiar dancing steps that characterize the annual event (prologue: 147).

## Relevance of usage of oral literature in Nigerian literary works to the society

Osofisan consciously employs the devices of oral literary arts and the traditional performance mode in his plays. His conscious intention is to create a popular theatre form, with which the masses can easily identify. Through this form, he is able to elicit responses from his audience and mobilize them to participate in the drama, thus breaking the barrier and bringing drama to function at the level of the popular audience.

Oral literature provides a way in which the nation's history, culture and tradition are preserved. With them, the people will understand their values and norms better and aim at building on the achievements of the past rather than destroy them. This role performed by oral literature is emphasized by Achebe (1964) thus:

African people did not hear of culture for the first time from Europeans; that their societies were not mindless but frequently had a philosophy of great depth and value and beauty that they had poetry and above all, they had dignity... the worst thing that can happen to any people is the loss of their dignity and self-respect. The writer's duty is to help them regain it, by showing them in human terms what happened to them, what they lost. There is a saying in Igbo that a man who cannot tell where the rain began to beat him cannot know where he dried his body. The writer can tell where the rain began to beat them. After all, the novelist's duty is not to beat this morning's headline in topicality; it is to explore in depth the human condition. In Africa he cannot perform this task unless he has a proper sense of history. (1964: 157).

A literary work built on Nigerians' history, songs, drama, dances, drumming, masquerades, puppetry, folktales, and proverbs and so on, mirrors the tradition, culture and ways of life of the people and captures the reality of their existence. It is a means of building a critical consciousness in the masses, mobilizing them for action, engaging them in struggle and reflecting on the struggle. Such work becomes an instrument in the hands of a greater number of people in their struggles for better living conditions, for more rights, for peace, security, more access to basic amenities, provision of quality education and even for food or more food in their stomach. Essentially, such work is used to resist several forms of oppression whether social, economic and/or political. The people are challenged to look critically at their situation and compelled to want to change it.

## CONCLUSION


Oral literature serves many functions in African society. In addition to providing amusement, they are used to educate the young, to validate rituals and beliefs, to promote conformity to cultural norms, and to provide psychological release in an institutionalized manner. This study brings into focus the identifiable traditional aesthetics deployed in *Many Colours Make the Thunder-King* as a means of satirizing Nigerian leaders and also for possible re-construction of their characters towards enhancement of a better society. One can as well proffer that Nigerian leaders should go back to their traditional roots to seek the basis for economic, political and social innovation and progress if they are to succeed in developing the country.

## Conflict of Interests

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

## REFERENCES

- Achebe C (1964). The Role of the Writer in a New Nation. *Nigeria Magazine* 81:157-160.
- Adedeji J (1998). *Nigerian Theatre Dynamics of a Movement . The Theatre in Yoruba*. Ed. Hyginus Ekwuazi. Ibadan: Caltop Publications (Nigeria) Limited.
- Awoonor K (1974). *Guardians of the Sacred Word: Ewe Poetry*. New York: Nok Pub. Intl.
- Bakare O (2002). *Theatre for Development in Search of a Language: Dance to the Rescue in Gbilekaa*, S. ed., *The Abuja Communicator: Abuja: The Department of Theatre Arts, University of Abuja*. J. Cult. Media 1:2.
- Bukenya A, Nandwa J (1983). *African Oral Literature for Schools*. Kenya: Longman.
- Chinweizu J, Ihechukwu M (1980). *Towards the Decolonisation of African Literature*. Enugu: Fourth dimension. Vol. 1.
- Obafemi O, Bodunde C, Eghagha H, Ododo S (2002). *Round-up Literature: A Complete Guide*. Lagos: Longman Nig. Plc.
- Obafemi O, Abdullahi A (2006). *Fabulous Theatre; A Re-assessment of Osofisan's Revolutionary Dialectics in Adeyemi, S. ed., Portraits for an Eagle: A Festschrift in Honour of Femi Osofisan*. Bayreuth: Bayreuth African Studies.
- Sekoni R (1988). *Oral Literature and the Development of Nigerian Literature. Perspectives on Nigerian Literature 1700 to the Present.1*. Ed. Ogunbiyi Yemi. Lagos: Guardian Books.
- Uka K (1980). *Drama and Conscientisation. Readings in African Humanities and African Cultural Development*. Ed. Uka. K. Enugu: Fourth Dimension. pp. 185-204.



# International Journal of English and Literature

## Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

- African Journal of History and Culture
- Journal of Media and Communication Studies
- Journal of African Studies and Development
- Journal of Fine and Studio Art
- Journal of Languages and Culture
- Journal of Music and Dance

**academicJournals**